

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,740	07/25/2003	Jeong-sang Lee	Q75992	9713
23373 7590 09/28/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			CHERY, DADY	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Withintoro	DO 2003 /		2616	
•				
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ď_

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/626,740	LEE, JEONG-SANG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dady Chery	2616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 At</u>	uaust 2007.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority t	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Regarding claims 1 and 11, New clearly teaches an address sequencer (36) that generates all the addresses needed for memory operation of a fast Fourier transform of a preselected length (Col. 3, lines 50 –55). Which is considered as the same described by the instant application. The memory operation can be write or read, the length is considered as the size of the data as described by the instant application.
 - b. Regarding claims 3,613,14,15 and 16, New discloses a typical operation of an FFT involves retrieving the addresses of two (Radix-2) or four (Radix-4)
 (Col. 4, lines 30 35) and Mestdagh teaches memories with 2048 capacity (Fig. 5) and 4096 capacity (Fig. 5 and 6).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine system teaches by Mestdagh into the system discloses by New to implement the claimed invention discloses by the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,740 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2616

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over New et al. (US Patent 4,393,457, hereinafter New) in the view of Verhenne. (US Patent 5,633,817 hereinafter Verhenne).

Regarding claims 1, New discloses an address and data generator (Fig. 2, 42) that generate a predetermined number of write and read address (Col. 3, lines 42 –55).

New also discloses an address sequencer (26) that provides all the controls instruction to the address generator to the write addresses and the read addresses according to operation of the FFT operation (Col. 3, lines 50 – 55).

New further discloses a fast Fourier transform processor (Fig. 1) with processes signal in response to a specific instruction signal applied to the system bus (Col. 2, lines 51 – Col. 3, lines 2). New discloses the fast Fourier transform processor repeats all the butterfly operation until the transform is completed (Col.3, lines 60 –64).

But, new fails to explicitly disclose the implementing of the fast Fourier transform by using the predetermined number.

However, New teaches a Fast Fourier Transform dedicated processor that repeats data generation circuit GC to generate a predetermined number complex data sequence by using two scrambled data sequences (Abstract). Which is the same function as described by the instant application.

Art Unit: 2616

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) to produce a Fast Fourier Transform sequence (Abstract).

The recitation that "A European digital audio broadcast receiver having diverse fast Fourier transform (FFT) modes based on sizes of transmitted data" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

5. Claims 2,3,6-10,12,13, 16 –20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over New in the view of Verhenne as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mestdagh et al. (US Patent 7,010,027, hereinafter Mestdagh).

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Verhenne discloses a FFT processor that uses a predetermine number of data to produce a Fast Fourier Transform sequence (Abstract). Verhenne fails to teach the size of predetermine number of data.

However, Mestdagh teaches a FFT that use a number of 4096 data to implement a fast Fourier transform (Col. 6, lines 47 –51). This the same function as described by the instant application.

Art Unit: 2616

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to consider 4096 as the size of the data for system using QAM (Abstract).

Regarding claims 3 and 13, New discloses a FFT processor and an address sequencer (Memory controller) having a user- preselectable number of points that repeat and generate address data (Col. 2, lines 17 - 21). An algorithm transform unit that implemented radix-4 base operation in the case the read addresses are generated (Col. 2, lines 21 - 28). The memory controller provides a butterfly operation that is same as digit-reverse the address of the memory in the correspondence to the read addresses (Col. 3, lines 56 - 65).

New fails the specific size of the generating data. However, Mestdagh teaches a generating data of size 4096 and 2048 and implementing a Radix –4 based operations (Fig. 6 and 7, and Col. 6, lines 47 –51). This the same function as described by the instant application.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to consider 4096 and 048 as the size of the data for system using QAM (Abstract).

Regarding claims 6 and 16, New discloses the memory controller digit-reverses the data operated on based on the Radix-4 algorithm and stored in the memory corresponding to the FFT modes (Col.2, lines 21 – 28).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 7,8,9,10,17, 18,19 and 20, New discloses the memory controller digit-reverses (butterfly operation) the bit array memory from the highest bit to the lowest bit and truncated the most significant bits to the right of the radix and appended the most significant bits to the specify address (Col. 9, lines 59 – Col. 10, lines 22). Which is substantially the same function as described by the instant application, the different FFT mode (2048,1024,256,512) are depend on the type of radix algorithm used.

6. Claims 4,5,14and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over New in view of Mestdagh as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of (On computing the fast Fourier transform by Richard C. Singleton, October 1967).

Regarding claim 4, New discloses an algorithm unit that implement Radix-4 based operation (Col. 2, lines 22 – 28). Mestdagh discloses a memory that store 2048 data (Col. 6, lines 47 –51).

New in combination with Mestdagh fail to disclose the memory controller has a virtual memory. However, Richard teaches fast Fourier transform with a virtual memory system (Page 652, Fig. 3).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the virtual memory for the purpose of transform data sets of size exceeding the 215 words of storage (Richard page 652).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, New discloses an algorithm unit that implement Radix-4 based operation (Col. 2, lines 22 – 28).

New in combination with Mestdagh fail to disclose "0" data blocks are stored in the virtual memory in correspondence to the FFT modes. However, Richard teaches fast Fourier transform with a virtual memory system where "0" data block could be store depend on the FFT modes. (Page 652, Fig. 3).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the virtual memory for the purpose of transform data sets of size exceeding the 215 words of storage (Richard page 652).

Regarding claims 21 and 22, New discloses a receiver for processing data (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2), the receiver comprising:

a receiving circuit (fig.2) that receives data;

a generating circuit (42) that generates a predetermined number of write addresses if the receiving circuit receives the data(Col. 4, lines 50 –55); New discloses an address sequencer (36) that generates all the addresses needed for memory operation of a fast. Fourier transform of a preselected length

a processing circuit (Fig. 1, 22) that processed the received data through fast Fourier transform modes to generate a first number of data corresponding to the generated predetermined number of write addresses, wherein the processing is repeated based on a size of the received data (Col. 1, lines 65 –66,Col. 2, lines 67 – Col.3,lines 2 and Col. 3, lines 50 –55); Where the first seed number is considered as the first number of data. a fast Fourier transform circuit that implements a fast Fourier transform using the

Art Unit: 2616

generated first number of data (Col. 1, lines 65 -67; and a control circuit (26) that controls the generating circuit to generate a number of read addresses according to operations of the fast Fourier transform circuit. New also discloses an address sequencer (26) that provides all the controls instruction to the address generator to the write addresses and the read addresses according to operation of the FFT operation (Col. 3, lines 50 – 55).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dady Chery whose telephone number is 571-270-1207.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8 am - 4 pm ESt.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,740 Page 10

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Q. Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dady Chery 09/25/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER